CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS

Cambridge International General Certificate of Secondary Education

MARK SCHEME for the October/November 2014 series

0470 HISTORY

0470/43

Paper 4 (Alternative to coursework), maximum raw mark 40

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2014 series for most Cambridge IGCSE[®], Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.





Page 2	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	0470	43

[0]

[6-7]

(a) (i) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question

Depth Study A: Germany 1918-1945

'How far'.

- Level 1 Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. [1–2] Level 2 – Makes valid inferences unsupported from the source e.g. egalitarian; beneficial; built patriotism and confidence. [3-4]Level 3 – Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. 'all social classes'; 'new skills', 'strong and healthy'; 'faith in the future', etc. [5–6] (ii) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question [0] Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. [1–2] Level 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g. Yes Increased membership; means for military training and indoctrination; popular aspects; became compulsory. No Not full youth membership even when compulsory; indoctrination not total success; resentment of some as too demanding. [3–5]
 - (iii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question [0]

Level 3 – Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of

- Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. [1]
- Level 2 Useful/not useful One is an eyewitness account and the other is British so they could both be biased/unreliable. [2]
- Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3–5]
- Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability.

 Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context.

 Include at this Level answers that cross reference between A and B to show reliability.

 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.

 [6–7]

Page 3	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	0470	43
(b) (i)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – One mark for each aspect to a maximum of two e.g. substactivities such as theatre tickets, sport; educational cours travel, etc.		
(ii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – Identifies aspects. Lost jobs, wartime employment.		[1–2]
	Level 2 – Describes aspects. Award an extra mark for each valid aspect described in additional detail. e.g. removed to provide jobs for men and become mothers; wage discrimination; 1 year labour service for under 25s, from 1936 voluntary, and 1939 compulsory; need for munitions' workers brought into industry, etc. [2–4]		
(iii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation	n.	[1–2]
	Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reas e.g. fear of punishment, Gestapo etc.; divided, isolated g policies; effective propaganda.		
(iv)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – Simple assertions. Yes – consistent anti-semitism; no – total control.		[1]
	Level 2 – Explanation of main aim OR other aims, single factor give Main Hitler's one consistent aim from 1920; Himmler etc. increasing persecution/restrictions from 1933; escalation throughout conquered territory; increased despite cost, e	.; Aryan sup during war,	
	Other Only decided in 1942, Hitler not at Wannsee; Slave equally persecuted; another aim more important – e.g. of expansionism; total social control; dictatorship, etc.		
	Level 3 – Explanation of main aim OR other aims with multiple fact factors with multiple reasons.	ors. Allow s	ingle
	OR Undeveloped suggestions of BOTH sides of the argu- Balanced but Brief).	ıment (anno	tate BBB [3–5]
	Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of main aim AND other aims must be addressed.	ssed.	[6–8]

Page 4	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	0470	43

Depth Study B: Russia, 1905-1941

- **2** (a) (i) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question [0]
 - Level 1 Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source. e.g. Russia has been hit by disasters; having to change economic strategy. [3–4]
 - Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. the disasters of war and ruin have disrupted industrial life and economic planning so that the Communist government must change strategy to a capitalist version of smaller industries. [5–6]
 - (ii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question [0]
 - Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.

 Yes Trotsky and Lenin defeated by Politburo who saw it as vital tool to win the civil war.
 - No Discontent, military costs, Trotsky and Lenin tried to change it. [3–5]
 - Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' [6–7]
 - (iii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question [0]
 - Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. [1]
 - Level 2 Useful/not useful One is Lenin and the other is British so they could both be biased/unreliable. [2]
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3–5]
 - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability.

Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross reference between A and B to show reliability.

6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. [6–7]

ige o	mark deficite	Cyliabas	i apci
	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	0470	43
(b) (i)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two e.g General has unlimited authority; unsure he will use it wit split with Trotsky; 'too rude', so should be removed as S	h caution; da	anger of
(ii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – Identifies views e.g. Socialism in One Country and World	d Revolution	. [1–2]
	Level 2 – Describes the views. Award an extra mark for each valid linked to each, and described in additional detail e.g. State concentrate on the development of communism in USSI Trotsky felt it was necessary to have a world revolution and protect Russia.	alin wanted t R to consolic	o late;
(iii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation	on.	[1–2]
	Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each rea NEP smacked of capitalism; to establish his grip on the replace Leninism with Stalinism; to increase production abroad for funds; to establish a truly socialist economy.	economy; w	anted to
(iv)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – Simple assertions. No, it was because people did not like him.		[1]
	Level 2 – Explanation of brilliance OR other reasons, single factor Bril Trotsky's efforts in the war saved the revolution but those who'd stayed behind – jealous or feared his popul Army; alliances developed behind his back, Zinoviev, Ka	made enemi arity with the	Red
	Other Not popular because of his arrogance, sarcasm a Menshevik and closeness to Lenin; jealous also of his n Brest-Litovsk; machinations of Stalin, developing power Secretary, etc.	egotiations l	eading to
	Level 3 – Explanation of brilliance OR other factors with multiple factors with multiple reasons.	actors. Allow	single
	OR Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the arg – Balanced but Brief).	jument (anno	otate BBB [3–5]
	Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of brilliance AND other reasons must be ad	dressed.	[6–8]

Syllabus

Paper

Page 5

Page 6	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	0470	43
Depth Stu	dy C: The USA 1919–1941		
3 (a) (i)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address to	ne question	[0]
	Level 1 – Repeats material stated in source, no inference made.		[1–2]
	Level 2 – Makes valid inferences unsupported from the source. e.g. had economic grounds for confidence; taking risks puzzled.	; greedy; fool	ish; [3–4]
	Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source. prosperity; 'gamblers'; lure of quick profits; listening to 'no-one knows'. etc.	•	
(ii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address to	ne question	[0]
	Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.		[1–2]
	Level 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g. Yes Aware of pessimism; worried by effects of busines cooperation with businesses and States; need to susta avoid suffering; choice of language to reassure.		
	No No worse than earlier market falls; pessimism unne only 'hesitated'; cooperation only voluntary; confident n sufficient; rising agricultural prices.		
	Level 3 – Agrees and disagrees, supported from the source. Add 'How far'.	resses the iss	sue of [6–7]
(iii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address to	ne question	[0]
	Level 1 – Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one more information, but does not specify what information		led/gives [1]
	Level 2 – Useful/not useful – One is a journalist and the other is a year, so they could be biased/unreliable.	politician; fro	om same [2]

[3-5]

[6–7]

Level 3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what

Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross reference between A and B to show

information.

Level 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability.

6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.

Page 7	Mark Scheme Sy	yllabus	Paper
g		0470	43
(b) (i)) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question [0]		
	Level 1 – One mark for each valid project to a maximum of two, e. g. Federal road- building; Boulder Dam; flood control; harbours; Brooklyn Navy Yard; public buildings. [1–2]		
(ii)) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question [[0]
	Level 1 – Identifies aspects. Attacked by government troops; disperse	d.	[1–2]
	Level 2 – Describes aspects. Award an extra mark for each valid asperadditional detail e.g. Republican Senate refused to agree Commediate payment in June 1932; July – Hoover ordered can MacArthur's armed troops used tanks and teargas, burnt termarchers killed; dispersed; Democrat support; huge publicity	ongress v amps den nts; riots;	ote for nolished;
(iii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the qu	uestion	[0]
	Level 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation.		[1–2]
	Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained e.g. Policies themselves limited – by Republican resistance, 'rugged individualism'; commitment to balanced budgets; lowering taxes did not stimulate demand; raising tariffs counterproductive; cutting government expenditure did not restore confidence; sheer scale of problem – unemployment rose from 3% to 25% in 3 years; longstanding problems, etc.		vidualism'; emand; not m 3% to
			[2–6]
(iv)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the qu	uestion	[0]
	Level 1 – Simple assertions. Yes, no social security. No, FDR offered hope.		[1]
	Level 2 – Explanation of despair OR other consequences, single factor given e.g. Despair Scale of unemployment; homelessness; begging; charity and state relief insufficient; borrowers' and savers' losses; distrust of banks; Hoover's 'prosperity just around the corner' did not reassure; treatment of Bonus Army; lack of social security; remained for much of 1930s despite New Deal, etc.		d state pover's us Army;
	Other WSC affected relatively few and share prices bounced back for a while; middle/upper classes did not suffer as much as poor; WSC not direct cause of weaknesses already evident by end of 1920s in agriculture, over-production, etc.; loss of confidence rather than despair; positive effect as Roosevelt's November 1932 campaign and victory gave hope, etc. [2]		t cause of duction,
	Level 3 – Explanation of despair OR other consequences with multiple Allow single factors with multiple reasons.	e factors (given.
	OR Undeveloped suggestions of BOTH sides of the argume – Balanced but Brief).	∍nt. (anno	otate BBB [3–5]
	Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. Both sides of despair AND other consequences must be add	dressed.	[6–8]

Page 8	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	0470	43

Depth Study D: China, 1945-c.1990

4	(a) (i)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question	[0]
		Level 1 – Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made.	[1–2]
		Level 2 – Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. highly qualified a leader; wants to match economic progress of other countries.	to be [3–4]
		Level 3 – Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. has held a nur of important posts in Party, has created supporters; aware of progress of neighbours such as Japan, etc.	nber [5–6]
	(ii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question	[0]
		Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.	[1–2]
		Level 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g. Yes Most ordinary Chinese probably agreed; accepts the idea of incentive useful.	es as
		No Some feared return to class injustice, unemployment and inflation; on 'probably' agreement so doubt remains about support.	ly [3–5]
		Level 3 – Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue 'How far?'	of [6–7]
	(iii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question	[0]
		Level 1 – Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gi more information, but does not specify what information.	ves [1]
		Level 2 – Useful/not useful – both are British but one quotes Deng so they could bo biased/unreliable.	th be [2]
		Level 3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify	what [3_5

Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show

[6-7]

Level 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability.

6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.

Page 9	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
J	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	0470	43
(b) (i)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	question	[0]
	Level 1 – Award one mark for each valid Modernisation to a maxim agriculture; industry; science; defence.	um of two e	e.g. [1–2]
(ii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	question	[0]
	Level 1 – Identifies methods e.g. Western-style systems of pay, ca	pitalistic.	[1–2]
	Level 2 – Describes methods. Award an extra mark for each valid a		
	additional detail, e.g. piece work; overtime and bonus pa sharing; 'responsibility' payments in factories; tax incenti	•	fit [2–4]
(iii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	question	[0]
	Level 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation	n.	[1–2]
	Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reasons. Since 1978 there had been a reversal of many of the measures and opening up to world trade (IMF and World sought Western money and expertise; Chinese impatient freedoms; students' 1986 demonstration for Deng and measures concessions promised; argument in Politburo; in such nu Party could not refuse, etc.	e Cultural Ro I Bank) as D t for political nodernisation	evolution Deng I ns; some
(iv)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	question	[0]
	Level 1 – Simple assertions, e.g. Yes, much better off with Western	າ goods.	[1]
	Level 2 – Explanation of benefit OR lack, single factor given e.g. Benefit Opening up to greater western contact, investme Chinese, especially in cities, access to consumer goods, incentives at work increased personal wealth; more shop competition in commerce reduced prices, etc.	fashion, etc	o.;
	Lack Party's hold on power barely wavered; Deng a stroir removed Democracy Wall in 1979; Tiananmen Square phuman rights record; doubtful that countryside Chinese for difference, etc.	rotest quash	ned; poor
	Level 3 – Explanation of benefit OR lack of benefit with multiple factors with multiple reasons.	tors given.	Allow
	OR Undeveloped arguments on BOTH sides of the arguments alanced but Brief).	ment (annot	ate BBB – [3–5]
	Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of benefit AND lack of benefit must be addre	essed.	[6–8]

	ige 10	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper		
		Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	0470	43		
De	Depth Study E: Southern Africa in the Twentieth Century					
5	(a) (i)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]		
		Level 1 – Repeats material stated in the source, no inferences made	de.	[1–2]		
		Level 2 – Makes valid inferences unsupported from the source e.g distrusted by the British; popular; committed Christian; c		•		
		Level 3 – Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e. language'; 'forgets all we have done for him'; 'Uncle Pau appears poor only on surface; 'looks after his own'.				
	(ii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]		
		Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.		[1–2]		
		Level 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g. Yes Hatching a conspiracy; able to ban weapons for oth British throughout SA; militarily skilled and underestimat		vaal; anti-		
		No Outnumbered; British ambitions open and a threat; o opposition.	nly suspecte	ed of [3–5]		
		Level 3 – Agrees and disagrees, supported from the source. Addre 'How far'.	esses the iss	sue of [6–7]		
	(iii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]		
		Level 1 – Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more information, but does not specify what information.		ed/gives [1]		
		Level 2 – Useful/not useful – Both British so they could be biased/	unreliable.	[2]		
		Level 3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of information give information.	en. Must spe	ecify what [3–5]		
		Level 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of Include at this Level answers that cross reference between reliability.				

6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.

[6–7]

rage ii	-	Walk Scheille	Syllabus	1 apei
	C	ambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	0470	43
(b) (i) Level 0 –	No evidence submitted or response does not address the	question	[0]
	Level 1 –	One mark for each aspect to a maximum of two, e.g. end War; Transvaal's 'independence'/self-government recogn suzerainty/control of foreign relations reserved; shaky con	ised but Br	
(i	i) Level 0 –	No evidence submitted or response does not address the	question	[0]
	Level 1 –	· Identifies aspects. Attempt to support Uitlanders and over	throw gove	rnment. [1–2]
	Level 2 –	Describes aspects. Award an extra mark for each valid as additional detail e.g. secret conspiracy Rhodes/Chamber Jameson's 500 armed police crossing from Pitsani, Bech Transvaal; Uitlander revolt did not materialise; did not real lasted 4 days; quickly surrounded, defeated, captured an confirmed Boer suspicions of British/Rhodes, etc.	iain; finance uanaland in ach Johanne	ed Captain ito esburg;
(ii	i) Level 0 –	No evidence submitted or response does not address the	question	[0]
	Level 1 –	Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation	n.	[1–2]
	Level 2 –	Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reas e.g. Strategic/trade route to India; gold and diamond mini imperial ambitions in whole continent; influence of Rhode Germany; Boers' mistreatment of Uitlanders/blacks, etc.	ng; railways	s; base for
(iv	/) Level 0 –	No evidence submitted or response does not address the	question	[0]
·	Level 1 –	Simple assertions. Yes, they lost militarily. No, Afrikaner nationalism strength	nened.	[1]
	Level 2 –	Explanation of failure OR success, single factor given e.g Failure Military defeats; costs; concentration camps' suffer Dutch gave scant support; no support from European powereeniging: Boer independence lost, to accept Edward part of British Empire, etc.	ering; Cape vers; terms	of
		Success Early military successes; commando raids; costs and diplomatic; Vereeniging concessions – Transvaal and self-government; an 'eventual' Union; no Boer to lose proto pay £3 million compensation; Dutch as well as English black or Coloured franchise in Boer states; Milner's schernationalism increased; Botha and Smuts political power by	d OFS to he perty or free to be taugh me failed; B	ave local edom; UK nt; no oer
	Level 3 –	Explanation of failure OR of success with multiple factors	given.	
		OR Undeveloped suggestions of BOTH sides of the argu – Balanced but Brief).	ment (anno	tate BBB [3–5]
	Level 4 –	Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of failure AND success must be addressed.		[6–8]

Syllabus

Paper

Page 11

Pa	age 1	12	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper	
			Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	0470	43	
De	Depth Study F: Israelis and Palestinians, 1945–c.1990					
6	(a)	(i)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	question	[0]	
			Level 1 – Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made) .	[1–2]	
			Level 2 – Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g for Palestine on American electoral issues; USA has man based on their interest.			
			Level 3 – Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e. to Arabs; aware of significance of Jews and Zionism; no considered of little importance.			
		(ii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	question	[0]	
			Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.		[1–2]	
			Level 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g. Yes Kissinger's efforts in 1974; American encouragement led to Sadat visiting Israel; Camp David; agreement led to Israeli withdrawal from Sinai which must reduce tension.			
			No USA and USSR do not want to be dragged into war; worried about Arab oil weapon	Western po	wers [3-5]	
			Level 3 – Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addre 'How far?'	esses the is	sue of [6–7]	
		(iii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	question	[0]	
			Level 1 – Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more information but does not specify what information.	more detail	ed/gives [1]	
			Level 2 – Useful/not useful – One source is from Truman the other could both be biased/unreliable.	is British, so	they [2]	
			Level 3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of information give information.	en. Must spe	ecify what [3–5]	
			Level 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of Include at this Level any answers that cross reference be show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.			
			,		r. 1	

Page 13	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
aye is	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	0470	43
(b) (i)	 Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question Level 1 – Award one mark for each correct group to a maximum of two e.g. Hezboll Hamas. 		
	Hallias.		
(ii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question		[0]
	Level 1 – Identifies methods e.g. diplomacy and violence.		
	Level 2 – Describes methods. Award an extra mark for each valid aspect described in additional detail e.g. diplomacy – contacts with other sympathetic countries and organisations; led to Arafat speaking to UN in 1976; UN Resolutions, UN peacekeepers and UNWRA. Violence – raids from Jordan, Lebanon till		
	expelled; radical elements attack people and property, etc.		
(iii)	i) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question		[0]
	Level 1 – One for the reason, one for the explanation.		
	Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained e.g. supported the Palestinians when they could embarrass Israel; camps in Arab countries were difficult to handle – raids on Israel brought retaliation; extra burden on Arab countries; felt that camps were a state within a state; Palestinians were seen as irresponsible at times; expelled from Jordan,		
<i>a</i> ,	removed from Lebanon; created civil wars in countries, etc.		[2–6]
(iv)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question		[0]
	Level 1 – Simple assertions. Yes, they have still not got their own country.		[1]
	Level 2 – Explanation of little improvement OR much improvement, single factor give e.g. Little Still without their own unified state; camps in Arab world not popular variab states; attracted Israeli retaliation; camps awful despite UNWRA; PLC recognised as speaking for Palestinian people but PLO divided, seen as terrorists by some; intransigence of Israel; Israeli settlements, etc.		pular with A; PLO
	Much Recognition by UNO; Resolutions for a two state series recognition of PLO; talks between Arab states and Israel by Israel after the rise of Hamas; Oslo Accords and Gazagave a kind of self-rule to Palestinians in Gaza and Wes	l; recognitior a-Jericho Ag	of PLO
	Level 3 – Explanation of little improvement OR much improvement with multiple factors given. Allow single factors with multiple reasons.		e factors
	OR undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argu- Balanced but Brief).	ument (anno	tate BBB [3–5]
	Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of little improvement AND much improvement addressed.	ent must be	[6–8]

Page 14	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	0470	43

Depth Study G: The Creation of Modern Industrial Society

7	(a) (i)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question	[0]
		Level 1 – Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made.	[1–2]
		Level 2 – Makes valid inferences unsupported from the source e.g. debilitating; incessant toil governed by machinery; noisy; young workers.	[3–4]
		Level 3 – Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. 'claims the operative's thinking powers'; no 'opportunity for the muscles'; 'not work be tedium', etc.	out [5–6]
	(ii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question	[0]
		Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.	[1–2]
		Level 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g. Yes Pleasant; good companionship; healthy; well-paid.	
		No Hints at long hours; repetitive; children still employed; only compares the idle rich and poorest.	with [3–5]
		Level 3 – Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue 'How far?'	of [6–7]
	(iii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question	[0]
		Level 1 – Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one source is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information.	[1]
		Level 2 – Useful/not useful – A is from a reformer; B later, rose-tinted interpretation	n. [2]
		Level 3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify information.	what [3–5]
		Level 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in cor Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to s reliability.	
		6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.	[6–7]

Page 15	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	0470	43
(b) (i)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – One mark for each valid detail to a maximum of two: refo model factory at New Lanark 1800–1825; schools, welfa housing; New Harmony, USA; socialist sympathies; trade – GNCTU.	re and dece	nt
(ii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – Identifies aspects, e.g. Applied to textile mills; limited chil	d labour.	[1–2]
	Level 2 – Describes aspects. Award an extra mark for each valid a additional detail e.g. did not include lace and silk mills; no max. hours 9 for 9–13, 12 for 13–18; no night work for u education; general working day limited to 5.30–20.30; for ensure compliance.	o children u nder 18s; 2	nder 9; hours
(iii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation	n.	[1–2]
	Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each rease. e.g. employers self-interest in profit; provided regular emcomparison to other employment; reformers focused on industrialisation; trade unions wanted bargaining rights; letc.	ployment; www.worst aspec	ell-paid in ts of
(iv)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	e question	[0]
	Level 1 – Simple assertions. Yes, wages increased. No, many strikes failed.		[1]
	Level 2 – Explanation of benefit OR lack of improvement, single factor given. Ben Regular work and wages; sometimes housing provided; cheaper goods; helped in improved communications; fostered trade unionism; superior to agricultural workers' situation, etc.		
	Lack Workers soon laid off if orders sank; most housing overcrowded; poor drainage; effects of pollution; weakne limited regulation, etc.		
	Level 3 – Explanation of benefit OR lack of improvement with multi	ple factors.	
	OR Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the arg – Balanced but Brief).	ument, (ann	otate BBB [3–5]
	Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of benefit and lack of improvement must be	addressed.	[6–8]

Pä	age 16	wark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
		Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	0470	43
Depth Study H: The Impact of Western Imperialism in the Nineteenth Century				
8	(a) (i)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	question	[0]
		Level 1 – Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made) .	[1–2]
		Level 2 – Makes valid inferences unsupported from the source e.g. of local areas; not expansionist; wary of competitors.	acts in bes	t interests [3–4]
		Level 3 – Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e. your rule'; 'protection'; 'no other nations should take then		to disturb [5–6]
	(ii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	question	[0]
		Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.		[1–2]
		Level 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g. Yes Weapons; opposition of natives not equal; superior r Nigeria.	military skill:	s in
		No African leaders signed away their rights and territories disputes and exploiting European rivalry.	s; self-intere	est in local [3–5]
		Level 3 – Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addre 'How far?'	esses the is	ssue of [6–7]
	(iii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	question	[0]
		Level 1 – Useful/not useful – choice made on the basis that one so detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what		
		Level 2 – Useful/not useful – A is a formal British response; B is a r so they could both be biased/unreliable.	modern ass	essment [2]
		Level 3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of information give information.	en. Must spe	ecify what [3–5]
		Level 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of Include at this Level answers that cross reference between reliability.		
		6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.		[6–7]

Page 16

Paper

Syllabus

Page 17	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
490 II	Cambridge IGCSE – October/November 2014	0470	43
(b) (i)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	question	[0]
	Level 1 – One mark for each aspect to a maximum of two, e.g. first recoil mechanism enabled rapid firing – c. 600 rounds per minute; patented 1883; forerunner of the machine gun; highly effective against lightly armed natives. [1–2]		
(ii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	question	[0]
	Level 1 – Identifies aspects, e.g. Used local rulers and officials; loo	se supervis	ion. [1–2]
	Level 2 – Describes aspects. Award an extra mark for each valid aspect described in additional detail e.g. developed by Lord Lugard in Nigeria and quickly spread; British District Officers to co-operate with paramount chieftains/rulers to modernise/extend efficient administration; aid peaceful local control; basis for social reform – in theory; imperial control of military and foreign relations, etc. [2–4]		
(iii)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	question	[0]
	Level 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation	n.	[1–2]
	Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, or each reason	d gold, more	е
(iv)	Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the	question	[0]
	Level 1 – Simple assertions. Yes, more territories. No, expensive.		[1]
	Level 2 – Explanation of benefits OR weaknesses single factor given. Ben Gained in prestige; more markets; Belgium and Congo; Britain had bulk African trade if fewer territories than France; trade routes, Suez; satisfied Christian evangelism and anti-slavery groups; partition, etc.		
	Weak Costly to build infrastructure, station troops, fight lo 'white man's grave'; caused friction between European p	-	nhealthy [2]
	Level 3 – Explanation of benefits OR weaknesses with multiple fac	tors.	
	OR Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument – Balanced but Brief).	ument (anno	otate BBB [3–5]
	Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of benefits and weaknesses must be addressed.	ssed.	[6–8]